Ghana

Summary

This profile showcases different dimensions of performance and the distribution of development finance in Ghana. The information below was compiled from two sources: AidData's 2014 Reform Efforts Survey and 2004-2013 Core Database. The 2014 Reform Efforts Survey asked public, private, and civil society leaders in 126 low- and middle-income countries questions about the most pressing problems they face, their top policy priorities, and how aid agencies can partner with them most effectively. Respondents working in Ghana evaluated the degree to which Ghana's development partners influenced the policy agenda (n=48), provided useful advice (n=33), and how helpful the development partners were in implementation (n=25). The 2004-2013 Core Database represents the most comprehensive dataset tracking international development finance.

Who are Ghana's top development partners?

Total financial contributions by donor type*

(USD $19 billion total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor Type</th>
<th>Contributions (Millions USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Development Bank</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* When a donor type's contributions are less than 0.1%, they are not represented in this figure.

Source: AidData's 2004-2013 Core Database

How are development partners performing in different policy areas in Ghana?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy Area</th>
<th>Influences the policy agenda (0-5)</th>
<th>Provides useful advice** (1-5)</th>
<th>Helpful in implementation (0-5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social &amp; Environmental</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

0 = not at all influential
5 = extremely influential

** The usefulness of advice scale ranged from 1 to 5.

Source: AidData's 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25
Which development partners were rated most highly by survey participants in Ghana? And how do they compare against the average of all development partners?

**Influences the policy agenda (total DPs evaluated = 16)**

- World Bank
- Brazil
- Denmark

---

**Provides useful advice**

** (total DPs evaluated = 14)

- Denmark
- United Kingdom
- United Nations Childrens Fund

---

**Helpful in implementation**

(total DPs evaluated = 9)

- World Bank
- Japan
- European Union

---

**Average Performance of all development partners in Ghana**

Source: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25

Which types of problems do development partners influence most?***

- Bureaucracy
- De Jure Environment
- Service Delivery
- Incentives
- Implementation

---

***The graph displays the types of problems where development partners were most influential in influencing the policy agenda in Ghana. Respondents identified a total of 16 types of problems.

Source: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25

Citation