Host Country Profile

Georgia

Summary

This profile showcases different dimensions of performance and the distribution of development finance in Georgia. The information below was compiled from two sources: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey and 2004-2013 Core Database. The 2014 Reform Efforts Survey asked public, private, and civil society leaders in 126 low- and middle-income countries questions about the most pressing problems they face, their top policy priorities, and how aid agencies can partner with them most effectively. Respondents working in Georgia evaluated the degree to which Georgia’s development partners influenced the policy agenda (n=63), provided useful advice (n=48), and how helpful the development partners were in implementation (n=37). The 2004-2013 Core Database represents the most comprehensive dataset tracking international development finance.

Who are Georgia’s top development partners?

Total financial contributions by donor type* (USD $9 billion total)

Source: AidData’s 2004-2013 Core Database
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Total Financial Contributions (Millions USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>3,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>2,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Bank</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Bank for Recons and Dev't</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Development Bank</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Monetary Fund</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*When a donor type's contributions are less than 0.1%, they are not represented in this figure.

Source: AidData’s 2004-2013 Core Database

How are development partners performing in different policy areas in Georgia?
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**The usefulness of advice scale ranged from 1 to 5.

Source: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25

Average Development Partner Performance in Georgia
Average Development Partner Performance across all countries

Source: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25
Which development partners were rated most highly by survey participants in Georgia? And how do they compare against the average of all development partners?

![Influences the policy agenda (total DPs evaluated = 19)]

![Provides useful advice** (total DPs evaluated = 18)]

![Helpful in implementation (total DPs evaluated = 11)]

Average Performance of all development partners in Georgia

Source: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25

Which types of problems do development partners influence most?***

*** The graph displays the types of problems where development partners were most influential in influencing the policy agenda in Georgia. Respondents identified a total of 16 types of problems.

Source: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25
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