**United Kingdom**

**Summary**

This development partner profile showcases different dimensions of performance and the distribution of development finance of the United Kingdom. The information below was compiled from two sources: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey and 2004-2013 Core Database. The 2014 Reform Efforts Survey asked public, private, and civil society leaders in 126 low- and middle-income countries questions about the most pressing problems they face, their top policy priorities, and how aid agencies can partner with them most effectively. Leaders evaluated the degree to which the United Kingdom’s influenced the policy agenda (n=1295), provided useful advice (n=672), and how helpful this development partner was in implementation (n=385). The 2004-2013 Core Database represents the most comprehensive dataset tracking international development finance.

Where does the United Kingdom’s Development Finance go?

**Top Partner Countries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Development Finance (in millions USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7346.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>5972.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2908.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2636.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2572.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2401.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2316.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2185.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRC</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1756.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1565.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: AidData’s 2004-2013 Core Database

**Where does the United Kingdom’s Development Finance go?**

**Distribution of the United Kingdom’s Development Finance, 2004-2013**

Source: AidData’s 2004-2013 Core Database

In which countries is the United Kingdom performing the best?

**Influences the policy agenda (0-5)**

Sierra Leone: 5
Kosovo: 4
Malawi: 4
Ghana: 4
Bangladesh: 3
Somalia: 3
Afghanistan: 3
Uganda: 3
Tanzania: 3
Mozambique: 3

0 = not at all influential
5 = extremely influential

**Provides useful advice* (1-5)**

Sierra Leone: 5
Tanzania: 4
Botswana: 4
Armenia: 4
Zimbabwe: 4
Mozambique: 4
Kosovo: 4
Palestine: 3
Ethiopia: 3
Kenya: 3

1 = not at all useful
5 = extremely useful

**Helpful in implementation (0-5)**

Sierra Leone: 5
Malawi: 4
Kosovo: 4
Tanzania: 4
Rwanda: 4
Ghana: 4
Liberia: 4
Macedonia: 4
Mozambique: 4
Zambia: 4

0 = not at all helpful
5 = extremely helpful

* The usefulness of advice scale ranged from 1 to 5.

Source: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25
How do in-country stakeholders perceive the United Kingdom's performance?

Survey respondents gave an average score of 2.0 to the United Kingdom's agenda-setting influence, equal to the average country.

Survey respondents gave a score of 3.1 to the United Kingdom's usefulness of advice.

Survey respondents gave a score of 3.1 to the United Kingdom's helpfulness in policy reform implementation.

The United Kingdom's Useful Advice, Amount of Development Finance, and Influence by Policy Area

Policy Domain
- Economic policy
- Social and environmental policy
- Governance policy

Agenda-setting influence

0 = no influence at all
5 = maximum influence

Log of Development Finance (USD in millions)**

Governance: land, decentralization, anti-corruption & transparency, democracy, public administration, justice & security, tax, customs, and public expenditure management
Economic: macroeconomic management, trade, business regulatory environment, investment, labor, energy & mining, infrastructure, and finance, credit & banking
Environment & Social: health, education, family & gender, social protection & welfare, environmental protection, and agriculture & rural development

** The amount of development finance is log-transformed to account for skewed distribution of aid across sectors.

Source: AidData's 2004-2013 Core Database and 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21
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