**Development Partner Profile**

**Portugal**

**Summary**

This development partner profile showcases different dimensions of performance and the distribution of development finance of Portugal. The information below was compiled from two sources: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey and 2004-2013 Core Database. The 2014 Reform Efforts Survey asked public, private, and civil society leaders in 126 low- and middle-income countries questions about the most pressing problems they face, their top policy priorities, and how aid agencies can partner with them most effectively. Leaders evaluated the degree to which Portugal’s influenced the policy agenda (n=68), provided useful advice (n=35), and how helpful this development partner was in implementation (n=21). The 2004-2013 Core Database represents the most comprehensive dataset tracking international development finance.

**Where does Portugal’s Development Finance go?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top Partner Countries</th>
<th>Distribution of Portugal’s Development Finance, 2004-2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(in millions USD by % of development finance)</td>
<td>(millions of USD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Angola (25%, 1097.1)</td>
<td>1097.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Cape Verde (23%, 1013.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Mozambique (15%, 686.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Timor-Leste (8%, 340.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Morocco (5%, 223.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sao Tome and Principe (4%, 182.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Guinea-Bissau (3%, 145.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Bosnia and Herzegovina (2%, 86.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Afghanistan (2%, 72.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Serbia (1%, 65.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: AidData’s 2004-2013 Core Database

**In which countries is Portugal performing the best?**

- **Influences the policy agenda (0-5)**
  - Cape Verde
  - Guinea-Bissau
  - Sao Tome and Principe
  - Timor-Leste
  - Mozambique
  - Angola

- **Provides useful advice* (1-5)**
  - Cape Verde
  - Timor-Leste
  - Mozambique
  - Guinea-Bissau
  - Angola

- **Helpful in implementation (0-5)**
  - Cape Verde

* The usefulness of advice scale ranged from 1 to 5.

Source: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25
How do in-country stakeholders perceive Portugal’s performance?

**Influences the policy agenda**

Survey respondents gave an average score of 2.3 to Portugal’s agenda-setting influence, 0.3 above the average country.

**Provides useful advice***

Survey respondents gave a score of 2.9 to Portugal’s usefulness of advice.

*The usefulness of advice scale ranged from 1 to 5.

**Helpful in implementation**

Survey respondents gave a score of 2.8 to Portugal’s helpfulness in policy reform implementation.

Portugal’s Useful Advice, Amount of Development Finance, and Influence by Policy Area

**Policy Domain**

- Economic policy
- Social and environmental policy
- Governance policy

**Agenda-setting influence**

- 0= no influence at all
- 5= maximum influence

Governance: land, decentralization, anti-corruption & transparency, democracy, public administration, justice & security, tax, customs, and public expenditure management

Economic: macroeconomic management, trade, business regulatory environment, investment, labor, energy & mining, infrastructure, and finance, credit & banking

Environment & Social: health, education, family & gender, social protection & welfare, environmental protection, and agriculture & rural development

The amount of development finance is log-transformed to account for skewed distribution of aid across sectors.

Source: AidData’s 2004-2013 Core Database and 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21
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