**OPEC Fund for International Development**

**Summary**

This development partner profile showcases different dimensions of performance and the distribution of development finance of the OPEC Fund for International Development. The information below was compiled from two sources: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey and 2004-2013 Core Database. The 2014 Reform Efforts Survey asked public, private, and civil society leaders in 126 low- and middle-income countries questions about the most pressing problems they face, their top policy priorities, and how aid agencies can partner with them most effectively. Leaders evaluated the degree to which the OPEC Fund for International Development’s influenced the policy agenda (n=134), provided useful advice (n=107), and how helpful this development partner was in implementation (n=18). The 2004-2013 Core Database represents the most comprehensive dataset tracking international development finance.

**Where does the OPEC Fund for International Development’s Development Finance go?**

Top Partner Countries
(in millions USD by % of development finance)

1. Egypt (5%, 383.1)
2. Morocco (3%, 227.8)
3. Turkey (3%, 224.2)
4. Pakistan (3%, 223.8)
5. Bangladesh (3%, 200.1)
6. Tunisia (2%, 189.6)
7. Paraguay (2%, 164.3)
8. Colombia (2%, 156.1)
9. Yemen (2%, 156.1)
10. Bosnia and Herzegovina (2%, 154.1)

Source: AidData’s 2004-2013 Core Database

**Distribution of the OPEC Fund for International Development’s Development Finance, 2004-2013**

(millions of USD)
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**In which countries is the OPEC Fund for International Development performing the best?**

Influences the policy agenda (0-5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provides useful advice* (1-5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Helpful in implementation (0-5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The usefulness of advice scale ranged from 1 to 5.

Source: AidData’s 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25
How do in-country stakeholders perceive the OPEC Fund for International Development's performance?

**Influences the policy agenda**

Survey respondents gave an average score of 1.3 to the OPEC Fund for International Development's agenda-setting influence, 0.7 below the average country.

**Provides useful advice***

Survey respondents gave a score of 2.2 to the OPEC Fund for International Development's usefulness of advice.

*The usefulness of advice scale ranged from 1 to 5.

**Helpful in implementation**

Survey respondents gave a score of 2.7 to the OPEC Fund for International Development's helpfulness in policy reform implementation.
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The OPEC Fund for International Development's Useful Advice, Amount of Development Finance, and Influence by Policy Area

**Policy Domain**
- Economic policy
- Social and environmental policy
- Governance policy

**Agenda-setting influence**

Source: AidData’s 2004-2013 Core Database and 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q25
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