Luxembourg

Summary
This development partner profile showcases different dimensions of performance and the distribution of development finance of Luxembourg. The information below was compiled from two sources: AidData's 2014 Reform Efforts Survey and 2004-2013 Core Database. The 2014 Reform Efforts Survey asked public, private, and civil society leaders in 126 low- and middle-income countries questions about the most pressing problems they face, their top policy priorities, and how aid agencies can partner with them most effectively. Leaders evaluated the degree to which Luxembourg's influenced the policy agenda (n=34), provided useful advice (n=15), and how helpful this development partner was in implementation (n=9). The 2004-2013 Core Database represents the most comprehensive dataset tracking international development finance.

Where does Luxembourg's Development Finance go?

Top Partner Countries
(in millions USD by % of development finance)
1. Mali (7%, 191.2)
2. Cape Verde (6%, 186.5)
3. Senegal (6%, 181.7)
4. Burkina Faso (6%, 165.2)
5. Vietnam (5%, 145.6)
6. Laos (5%, 134.2)
7. Nicaragua (4%, 131)
8. Niger (4%, 112.5)
9. El Salvador (4%, 107.7)
10. Namibia (2%, 68.7)

Source: AidData's 2004-2013 Core Database

In which countries is Luxembourg performing the best?

Influences the policy agenda (0-5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provides useful advice* (1-5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Helpful in implementation (0-5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The usefulness of advice scale ranged from 1 to 5.

Source: AidData's 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21, Q25

** The amount of development finance is log-transformed to account for skewed distribution of aid across sectors.

Source: AidData's 2004-2013 Core Database and 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21
How do in-country stakeholders perceive Luxembourg’s performance?

Survey respondents gave an average score of 2.6 to Luxembourg’s agenda-setting influence, 0.6 above the average country.

Survey respondents gave a score of 3.7 to Luxembourg’s usefulness of advice.

Survey respondents gave a score of 3.2 to Luxembourg’s helpfulness in policy reform implementation.

Luxembourg’s Useful Advice, Amount of Development Finance, and Influence by Policy Area

Governance: 
- land, decentralization, anti-corruption & transparency, democracy, public administration, justice & security, tax, customs, and public expenditure management

Economic: 
- macroeconomic management, trade, business regulatory environment, investment, labor, energy & mining, infrastructure, and finance, credit & banking

Environment & Social: 
- health, education, family & gender, social protection & welfare, environmental protection, and agriculture & rural development

** The amount of development finance is log-transformed to account for skewed distribution of aid across sectors.

Source: AidData’s 2004-2013 Core Database and 2014 Reform Efforts Survey, Q14, Q21
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