Governance Assessment Profile

Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA)

Summary
The World Bank's Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA) is a methodology for assessing public financial management performance. It identifies 94 dimensions across 31 key components of public financial management indicators in 7 broad areas of activity. The information below was compiled from responses to AidData's 2016 GDA Snap Poll, which asked public, private and civil society leaders to answer 11 questions about whether, when, and why they use governance data in their work. A total of 134 participants to the snap poll indicated familiarity with the PEFA.

134 survey respondents were familiar with the PEFA.

Who is familiar with the PEFA (by policy domain)?

28% of data users became familiar with the PEFA through external written communication.

How did data users become familiar with the PEFA?*

*Snap poll participants could select multiple channels of communications for how they became familiar with a particular assessment.
How many data users found the PEFA to be *important* or *essential* to their work?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The PEFA</th>
<th>Avg. Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Sectors</td>
<td><img src="chart1" alt="Chart" /></td>
<td><img src="chart2" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td><img src="chart3" alt="Chart" /></td>
<td><img src="chart4" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroeconomic</td>
<td><img src="chart5" alt="Chart" /></td>
<td><img src="chart6" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**88%**

88% of all data users considered the PEFA to be important or essential to their work, 13% above the average assessment.

**89%**

89% of data users in the governance domain considered the PEFA to be important or essential to their work.

**84%**

84% of data users in the macroeconomic domain considered the PEFA to be important or essential to their work.

How many data users found the PEFA to be *helpful* or *very helpful* to their work?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The PEFA</th>
<th>Avg. Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Sectors</td>
<td><img src="chart1" alt="Chart" /></td>
<td><img src="chart2" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance</td>
<td><img src="chart3" alt="Chart" /></td>
<td><img src="chart4" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroeconomic</td>
<td><img src="chart5" alt="Chart" /></td>
<td><img src="chart6" alt="Chart" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**84%**

84% of all data users evaluated the PEFA to be helpful or very helpful to their work, 15% above the average assessment.

**85%**

85% of data users in the governance domain evaluated the PEFA to be helpful or very helpful to their work.

**81%**

81% of data users in the macroeconomic domain evaluated the PEFA to be helpful or very helpful to their work.

Background

Designed and fielded by AidData at the College of William & Mary, the 2016 Governance Data Alliance Snap Poll offers a unique window into the experiences of public, private, and civil society leaders in advancing reforms in their countries and the role of a broad range of governance data in that process. Respondents answered 11 questions about whether, when, how, and why they use governance data in their work. 3000+ policy-makers and practitioners in low- and middle-income countries received an invitation to participate in the online snap poll. 515 individuals in 111 countries participated, yielding a response rate of 17.7%.
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